# Kinetics and Mechanistic Studies of Ru(Iii) Catalysed Oxidation of Antibiotic Drug Chloramphenicol by Kbro<sub>3</sub> in Acidic Medium

By

Amrita Srivastava, Ashish Verma, Madhu Gupta and Virendra Kumar

ISSN 2319-3077 Online/Electronic ISSN 0970-4973 Print

UGC Approved Journal No. 62923 MCI Validated Journal Index Copernicus International Value IC Value of Journal 82.43 Poland, Europe (2016) Journal Impact Factor: 4.275 Global Impact factor of Journal: 0.876 Scientific Journals Impact Factor: 3.285 InfoBase Impact Factor: 3.66

J. Biol. Chem. Research Volume 36 (1) 2019 Part D, Pages No. 142-148

# Journal of Biological and Chemical Research

An International Peer Reviewed / Referred Journal of Life Sciences and Chemistry

# Indexed, Abstracted and Cited in various International and National Scientific Databases

Published by Society for Advancement of Sciences®

J. Biol. Chem. Research. Vol. 36, No. 1: Part D, 142-148, 2019 (An International Peer Reviewed / Refereed Journal of Life Sciences and Chemistry) Ms 36/02/110/2019 All rights reserved ISSN 2319-3077 (Online/Electronic) ISSN 0970-4973 (Print)



http:// <u>www.sasjournals.com</u> http:// <u>www.jbcr.co.in</u> jbiolchemres@gmail.com

Received: 05/05/2019

Revised: 19/06/2019

RESEARCH PAPER Accepted: 20/06/2019

# Kinetics and Mechanistic Studies of Ru(Iii) Catalysed Oxidation of Antibiotic Drug Chloramphenicol by Kbro<sub>3</sub> in Acidic Medium

Amrita Srivastava, Ashish Verma, Madhu Gupta and Virendra Kumar Department of Chemistry, University of Lucknow, Lucknow U.P. India

## ABSTRACT

The kinetics of oxidation of chloramphenicol by  $KBrO_3$  has been investigated over the temperature range of 308-328 K. The reaction has been found to proceed quantitatively over a wide range of experimental conditions. Oxidation of this antibiotic involves four electron changes and the product of oxidation has been identified. Proposed oxidation reaction exhibits first order kinetics with respect to [KBrO<sub>3</sub>]. The rate of reaction was found to be independent of the concentration of the substrate. The order dependence of rate on [H<sup>+</sup>] suggests complex formation between KBrO<sub>3</sub> and [H<sup>+</sup>]. The rate of reaction was found to be first order with respect to Ru(III) also. Thermodynamic parameters were evaluated. A suitable reaction scheme is proposed and appropriate rate law is derived to account for the observed kinetic data.

Keywords: Chloramphenicol, KBrO<sub>3</sub>, Thermodynamic parameters and Ruthenium (III) catalysis.

## INTRODUCTION

Transition metals are known to catalyze many redox reactions due to multiple oxidation states. Their higher oxidation states can be generally stabilized with unstable polydentate ligands. A great interest has been shown in using transition metals such as ruthenium, (Little et al. 2005) osmium, palladium and iridium either alone or as binary mixtures. Ruthenium catalysis in redox reactions involves different degrees of complexity, due to formation of different intermediate complexes and different oxidation states of ruthenium. Ruthenium (III) acts as a homogenous catalyst in the oxidation of many organic and inorganic substrates (Sirsalmath Kiran et al. 2006, Adejo et al. 2008). The unanalyzed reaction of oxidation of chloramphenicol and ruthenium (III) catalyzed reaction has been studied (Baralles, et al 2002, Veeraish et al. 2010).

Chloramphenicol undergoes hydrolysis in strong acidic and basic medium at elevated temperature (Gowda Ramachandrappa et al. 2012, Aftab Aslam et al. 2014). Chloramphenicol is effective against a wide variety of gram positive and gram negative bacteria, including anaerobic microorganisms. It is the first broad spectrum antibiotic drug, has been analyzed by spectrophotometric techniques (Singh Ajay et al.2009, Demappa et al. 2009). Aromatic sulphonyl monohaloamines have been used as versatile oxidizing agents (Gupta Madhu et al 2018). Oxidimetric estimation of chloramphenicol has been studied with aromatic sulphonyl monohaloamines (subrahmanayam et al. 2001) but no information has been found for its oxidation with KBrO<sub>3</sub>using ruthenium (III) as catalyst.

Hence, the present investigation aimed to establish the reactivity of chloramphenicol towards chloramines-T in ruthenium (III) catalyzed reactions and to arrive at a plausible mechanism. The optimized structures of reactant and product [Fig. 1] are given as,



#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

A solution of Chloramphenicol (S.D. Fine Chemicals) was prepared by dissolving an appropriate amount in double distilled water. The purity was checked by its melting point i.e.  $149^{\circ}C$  (literature:  $149\cdot153^{\circ}C$ ). Analytical grade chemicals and double distilled water was used throughout the studies. The oxidation of chloramphenicol by KBrO<sub>3</sub> in the presence of Ru(III) catalyst was studied at 308 K. The reaction was initiated by mixing KBrO<sub>3</sub> with chloramphenicol solution which also contained required concentrations of HClO<sub>4</sub>, KCl, Hg(OAc)<sub>2</sub> and Ru(III) as catalyst. The progress of the reaction was monitored iodometrically under suitable and desirable reaction conditions. The course of reaction was studied for at least up to seventy percent completion of the reaction. The oxidation of chloramphenicol with KBrO<sub>3</sub> is not feasible at pH 1-10. The oxidation was also very slow in aqueous solution and became appreciable only in presence of H<sup>+</sup> ions at higher temperature (Gupta Madhu et al.2019). Hence, detailed kinetic investigations of oxidation of chloramphenicol by KBrO<sub>3</sub> were made in presence of perchloric acid using Ru (III) as catalyst.

#### Stoichiometric and product analysis

Different sets of the reaction mixture in perchloric acid medium (with excess of Chloramphenicol) were kept for over 48 hrs at 313K, keeping other conditions constant. The determination of unconsumed KBrO<sub>3</sub> in the reaction mixture showed that 1 mole of chloramphenicol consumed 2 moles of KBrO<sub>3</sub>. The observed Stoichiometry is shown as-



After completion of the reaction, the reaction mixture was acidified, concentrated and extracted with ether. The ether layer was subjected to column chromatography and various fractions were used for spectral investigations (Kolachana et al. 2012). From the UV (Fig. 2) spectra, the main oxidation product was identified and confirmed.

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### **Reaction orders**

The reaction orders have been determined by varying the concentrations of chloramphenicol, KBrO<sub>3</sub>, H<sup>+</sup>, Ruthenium, in turn by keeping the others constant.

#### Effect of [substrate]

The effect of Chloramphenicol was studied by varying it in the concentration range of  $1.25 \times 10^3$  -  $10.00 \times 10^3$  mol dm<sup>-3</sup> keeping all other conditions and variables constant. The value of k<sub>r</sub> remained constant (Table1) (Fig. 3) indicating zero order dependence of the reaction on chloramphenicol.

[KBro <sub>3</sub> ]×10 <sup>3</sup> Mol	Ru(lll)×10 <sup>6</sup> Mol	[Chloramphenicol]×10 <sup>3</sup>	$(d_{0}/d_{1}) \times 107$
dm-3	dm-3S-1	Μ	(-uyut)^ 10 <sup>4</sup>
1.25	1.0	1.96	1.6
1.60	1.0	1.96	2.0
2.00	1.0	1.96	2.6
2.50	1.0	1.96	3.0
3.33	1.0	1.96	3.5
5.00	1.0	1.96	5.0
1.0	1.0	1.96	2.0
1.0	2.0	1.96	4.0
1.0	2.5	1.96	5.75
1.0	3.0	1.96	8.15
1.0	4.0	1.96	10
1.0	5.0	1.96	12.05
1.0	1.0	1.25	0.14
1.0	1.0	2.9	0.13
1.0	1.0	3.5	0.14
1.0	1.0	4.5	0.16
1.0	1.0	6.25	0.13
10	1 0	10.0	0.14

Table 1. Effect of variation of [KBrO<sub>3</sub>] and Ru (III) on the reaction rate at 40°C.





Figure 4. Plot between [Ru(III)×106 ML<sup>-1</sup>S<sup>-1</sup> and (-dc/dt)×107ML<sup>-1</sup>S<sup>-1</sup>.

[HClO <sub>4</sub> ]×10 <sup>3</sup> Mol	[KCI] × 10 <sup>3</sup> Mol	[Hg(OAc) <sub>2</sub> ] ×10 <sup>3</sup> Mol	(-dc/dt) ×107 ML <sup>-1</sup> S <sup>-1</sup>
1.66	1.0	1.0	2.50
2.0	1.0	1.0	2.51
2.5	1.0	1.0	2.51
3.33	1.0	1.0	2.50
5.0	1.0	1.0	2.63
10.0	1.0	1.0	2.53
1.0	1.25	1.0	2.60
1.0	1.66	1.0	2.55
1.0	2.0	1.0	2.56
1.0	3.3	1.0	2.60
1.0	5.0	1.0	2.57
1.0	5.5	1.0	2.57
1.0	1.0	1.0	2.58
1.0	1.0	2.0	2.56
1.0	1.0	3.0	2.55
1.0	1.0	4.0	2.57
1.0	1.0	5.0	2.56
1.0	1.0	6.0	2.56

able 2 Effect of UCIO	VCI and Ur(OAa) on	the reaction rate at 1	<b>0</b>
able 2. Effect of fictor.	NUT ATTU HETUACI2011	the reaction rate at 4	U°C.

## Effect of [oxidant]

The oxidation concentration was varied in the range of  $1.25 \times 10^{-3}$ -  $5.00 \times 10^{-3}$  mol dm<sup>-3</sup>. The fairly constant value of K indicates the order with respect to KBrO<sub>3</sub> is unity in Ru(III) catalyzed reactions (Table 1).

#### Effect of [Ru(III)]

The catalyst concentration was varied in the range of  $1.00 \times 10^{-6}$ -  $5.00 \times 10^{-6}$  mol dm<sup>-3</sup>. The rate of reaction increased with increase in concentration of Ru (III) confirming first order dependence on it (Table 1) (Fig. 4).

#### Effect of ionic strength on the reaction rate

The ionic strength of the medium was varied by the addition of NaClO<sub>4</sub> (0.1 - 0.5 mol dm<sup>-3</sup>). The rate of reaction was not changed confirming the involvement of non ionic species in the rate limiting step.

#### Effect of temperature on the rate of reaction

The value of k were evaluated at different temperatures and found to vary at different temperatures. Plot of log k versus 1/T was found to be linear and the values of activation parameters with reference to catalyst were computed and results are summarized in (Table 3), (Fig.5).

Parameters	Values	
Ea (KJmol-1)	42.0	
Log A	12.4	
ΔS* (K-1Jmol-1)	-56.08	
ΔH*(KJmol <sup>-1</sup> )	60.5	
ΔG*(KJmol)	54.88	

Table 3. Effect of temperature on the rate of reaction.

Table 4. Activation parameters.		
1/T°K	(-dc/dt)× 104S-1	
33.0	0.5	
32.4	1.5	
31.9	3.4	
31.4	5.6	
30.9	8.4	

. .



Figure 3. Plot between 1/T X 10<sup>4</sup> vs. 5+log K for oxidation of Chloramphenicol.

#### Mechanism and rate law

The concentration of each species depends upon the concentration of KBrO<sub>3</sub>, the nature and pH of the medium the oxidant can exist in protonate from in an aqueous acidic solution. KBrO3 ionizes in aqueous acidic medium as -

 $\begin{array}{rcl} \text{KBrO}_{3} \ + \ \text{H}^{+} & \underbrace{K_{1}}_{K_{-1}} & \text{HBrO}_{3} \ + \ \text{K}^{+} & \dots & (1) \text{ Fast} \\ \text{HBrO}_{3} \ + \ \text{K}^{+} \ + \ \text{Ru}(\text{III}) & \underbrace{K^{+}[\text{RuO}_{3}\text{Br}] \ + \ \text{H}^{+} & \dots & (2) \text{ Slow and rds} \\ \text{K}^{+}[\text{RuO}_{3}\text{Br}] \ + \ \text{O}_{2}\text{N} & \underbrace{O\text{HNHCOCHCl}_{2}}_{H \ H} & \underbrace{O\text{HNH$ 

 $[KBrO_3]_T = [KBrO_3] + [HBrO_3] \qquad ....(5)$ From equation (1)

$$[KBrO_3]_{\rm T} = \frac{[HBrO_3]}{K_1[H^+]}$$

By substituting for [CAT] in equation (5)  $[KBrO_3]_T = \frac{[HBrO_3]}{K_1[H^+]} + [HBrO_3]$   $[KBrO_3]_T = \frac{K_1[KBrO_3][H^+]}{1 + K_1[H^+]}.....(6)$ By substituting for [HBrO\_3] from equation (5) Rate =  $-\frac{d[KBrO_3]}{dt} = \frac{K_1K_1[KBrO_3]_T[Ru(III)][H^+]}{1 + K_1[H^+]}$ Reaction isotopic studies in heavy water indicated the increase in rate reaction.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are also gratefully to University of Lucknow, Lucknow for providing lab facility and computational help.

#### REFERENCES

- Little, M., L., Murray, D. McCoubrie and F., Daly (2005). A potentially fatal prescribing error in the treatment of paracetamol poisoning, *Medical J. of Australia*, 183: 535-36.
- Sirsalmath Kiran, T., Hiremath Chanabasayya, V. and Nandibewoor Sharanappa, T. (2006). *Appl Catal A Gen*; 305: 79 CrossRef.
- Adejo, S. O., R. A. Wuana, S. G. Yiase and M. M. Ekwenchi (2008). Kinetics of the dichromateion oxidation of simple aliphatic aldehydes. *Int'l J. of Chemistry*; 18(2): 63-70.
- **Baralles, P.O., R.P. Weigand and A. M. Dias (2002).** Simultaneous determination of paracetamol and caffeine by flow injection-solid phase spectrometry using C18 silica gel as a sensing support, *Analytical Sci. J*; 18: 1241-1246.
- Veeraiah, M.K., Ananda Murthy, A.S. and Made Gowda, N.M. (2010). Oxidation of npropylamine and n-butylamine by chloramines-B and chloramine-T in basic medium: A kinetic study, Synth. React. Inorg. Met.-Org. Nano- Met. *Chem.*, 40(4): 225-230.
- Singh Ajay, Negi Reena, Jain Bhavana, Katre Yokraj, Surya P. Sharma and Virandr (2009). (Kinetics and Mechanism of Ru(III)-Catalyzed Oxidation of Paracetamol by Chloramine-T in Aqueous Acidic Medium); Catalysis Letters- 1/2, 285-291.
- **Demappa, T. and S. Ananda (2009).** Kinetics and mechanism of Oxidation of Methionine by Chloramine-T in Alkaline Medium in presence of OsO4 Catalyst). *Asian Journal of Chemistry*; 11/2: 376-383.
- Gowd Ramachandrappa, Diwya, and Iyengar Pushpa (2012). (Oxidation of Propanolol using Chloramine-T in HClO4 medium: A Kinetic and Mechanistic Study) *International Research Journal of Pharmacy*, 3(6): 122-125.

- Aftab Aslam, Anish Khan, Abdulla Ansar, Naved Azum and Malik Abdul (2014). (Microconcentrations of Ru(III) used as homogenous catalyst in the oxidation of levothyroxine by N-bromosuccinimide and the mechanistic pathway). *Journal of Taiwan Institute of Chemical Engineers*, 45/1: 127-133.
- **Gupta Madhu, Srivastava Amrita and Srivastava Sheila (2018).** Kinetic Mechanistic, and Thermodynamic Studies for Oxidation of L-Alanine by Alkaline Sodium Periodate in Presence of Os(VIII) in its Nano Concentration Range as Homogenous Catalyst *Bulletin of Chemical Reaction Engineering & Catalysis*, 13 (2), 355-364.
- Subrahmanayam E.V.S, Bhatt K. Ishwar, Sherigara B.S. and Kalluraya (2001). (Kinetics of oxidation of Aspirin by Mn(III)in pyrophosphate medium). *Indian Journal of Chemistry*, 40A, 171-174.
- Gupta Madhu, Srivastava Amrita and Srivastava Sheila (2019). Comparative Study of Kinetic and Mechanistic Study of Oxidation of L-Alanine and L-Proline by Sodium Periodate using Osmium(VIII) as Catalyst in its Nano- Concentration Range, Russian Journal of Physical Chemistry A, 93 (1), pp. 69–79. © Pleiades Publishing, Ltd., 2019.
- Kolachana, V. S. K., Cholkar, K., Kayani, W. M., Kouassi, G. K., Jagadeesh, R. V. and Made Gowda N. M. (2012). Oxidative conversion of lactic acid by chloramine-T in sulfuric acid medium: a kinetic and mechanistic study, *American Journal of Organic Chemistry*, 2(1): 18-24.

Corresponding author: Ashish Verma, Department of Chemistry, University of Lucknow, Lucknow U.P. India Email: amrita.sri18@gmail.com, ash28verma@gmail.com

J. Biol. Chem. Research